

Dear Mr. VRBENSKY,

<u>Subject: Full-Size Project, Montenegro: Towards Carbon Neutral Tourism in Montenegro – PIMS No.5149- ATLAS BU: MNE 10 - Proposal No.: 00079785 - Project No.: 00089673</u>

I am pleased to delegate to you as the UNDP Resident Representative the authority to sign the project document on behalf of UNDP for the above-mentioned *Full-Size* project. The project, which amounts to a total of US\$ 3,090,000, has received its final approval in accordance with the established Global Environment Facility (GEF) procedures (CEO approval/endorsement attached as relevant at Annex 1).

I am also pleased to provide a summary of the next steps in the process and to outline, for your easy reference, the mandatory GEF-specific project financial and results management requirements. Please note that Annex 2 clarifies these in further detail. In addition, a number of Advisory Notes have been prepared to support the implementation of UNDP supported GEF funded projects, and these and further clarification on the GEF project cycle and other requirements can be found in the UNDP GEF Programming Manual at http://intra.undp.org/gef.

Next steps and mandatory GEF-specific requirements:

1. <u>Project document signature</u>:

As the Resident Representative with the delegated authority for this project, we kindly request that you sign the above-mentioned *Full-Size* project document on behalf of UNDP. We would also appreciate your obtaining the signature of the representative of the Government of Montenegro.

2. Issuance of Authorized Spending Limit (ASL):

To facilitate a quick start to the project, once the project document is signed, please kindly ensure that the Atlas-generated Annual Work Plan (AWP) based on the Total Budget and Annual Work Plan in the attached project document, along with a copy of the signed cover page, is sent to Mrs. Marina Olshanskaya, Regional Technical Advisor (RTA) in Istanbul.

Any proposed budget revisions should be discussed with forwarded early to the UNDP RTA together with a clear explanation of the changes proposed as any significant changes require review and approval by the GEF Secretariat. In addition, please note that the UNDP-GEF Unit is not in a position to increase the project budget above the amount already approved by the GEF Council. Therefore, any over-expenditure on this project would have to be absorbed by other Country Office resources.

Mr. Vrbensky, Rastislav
UN Resident Coordinator / UNDP Resident Representative
UNDP, Montenegro
Montenegro

<u>Fee</u>: As an Implementing Agency of the GEF, UNDP earns a fee upon approval of each project which is to be used to cover specific project assurance and oversight costs incurred by UNDP. For the Country Office, these services are related to the provision of project cycle management services, as detailed in Annex 2.

The total fee over the lifetime of the above mentioned project for these Country Office support services will be US\$111,650. This fee will be paid directly by the UNDP-GEF Unit to the XB account of the Country Office. Annex 3 summarizes the Country Office fee allocation and payment schedule. The first installment will be paid upon receipt of the signed main project document cover page by the UNDP Region-based Technical Advisor (RTA). The second and all subsequent annual fee installments will be paid based on cumulative delivery, audit compliance, and compliance with the GEF project management requirements outlined below. The amount to be received by your office includes the cost of services generated by the UNDP Initiation Plan using GEF project preparation grant resources.

As noted above, the GEF fee is provided to cover the project cycle management service indirect costs as detailed in Annex 2. At the Country Office level, project cycle management services performed by UNDP Country Offices are broadly analogous to General Management Support (GMS) and cover support to project development and implementation stages. The UNDP-GEF Unit will support the Country Office by providing a suite of specialized technical services as required by the GEF and detailed in Annex 2.

If the Implementing Partner requests UNDP to provide direct services specific to project inputs (i.e. Implementation Support Services (ISS) or Direct Project Services (DPS), then UNDP's costs must be recovered in full accordance with GEF-specific Bureau of Management and UNDP-GEF guidance on Direct Project Costs (DPCs). This guidance is provided at Annex 4 for your reference, and it was drafted to recognize the specific GEF Council requirements for GEF agencies if and when providing direct project services. In summary, to comply with the guidance UNDP will need to ensure for each project that: (a) a Letter of Agreement (LOA) between UNDP and the Implementing Partner has been entered into clearly documenting the services requested and the associated costs; and (b) the DPCs are within the Project Management Cost (PMC) component of the project budget. If DPS are requested after the date of GEF CEO endorsement, prior approval of any DPCs will be needed from the GEF Secretariat. An appropriate separation between project oversight and direct project support is required in accordance with the UNDP Internal Control Framework.

All GEF-funded projects must be audited in accordance with UNDP Financial Regulations and Rules and Audit policies, and an appropriate separation between project oversight and direct project support is required in accordance with the UNDP Internal Control Framework.

3. *GEF-specific project management requirements*:

- Where possible, the inception workshop should be held within 3 months of project signature. To avoid any confusion during project implementation, we also recommend that the support activities to be undertaken by the Country Office, as listed in Annex 2, be confirmed at the inception workshop.
- The GEF Secretariat must be informed of any changes to the results framework of the project document. As such, should you wish to make any such changes to the project document and/or budget, please discuss this with the UNDP RTA, as minor changes may need to be reported to the GEF Secretariat and major changes will need prior approval. Project extension requests will need prior approval of the UNDP-GEF Principal Technical Advisor.
- As specified in the project document, a detailed annual project operational plan should be prepared by the Project Manager. It is strongly recommended that this plan for the first year of project implementation be reviewed at the inception workshop and subsequent years by the Project Board.
- All full-size and medium-sized projects are required to submit an annual PIR (Project Implementation Report). Template and detailed instructions will be provided on an annual basis by the RTA.

- As outlined in the 2010 GEF Monitoring &Evaluation policy, full-size projects are required to undertake a mid-term review and a terminal evaluation with a corresponding management response. Medium-sized projects are required to undertake a terminal evaluation with a corresponding management response and a mid-term review is also recommended. Both mid-term reviews and terminal evaluations must be translated into English or they will not be accepted by the GEF. Terminal evaluations should also be included in the UNDP Country Office Evaluation Plan and should be posted to the UNDP Evaluation Resource Center when completed. The specific requirements are available at http://erc.undp.org and from the RTA.
- All full-size projects must also complete the **GEF Focal Area Tracking Tool** twice during project implementation: before the mid-term review mission takes places and again before the terminal evaluation mission takes place. Medium sized projects must complete the GEF Focal Area Tracking Tool once during project implementation before the terminal evaluation mission takes place. The GEF Focal Area Tracking Tools must be completed by the project team and provided to the review/evaluation team before their review/evaluation mission. The GEF Focal Area Tracking Tools are available at www.thegef.org/tracking-tools and from the RTA.
- The UNDP Country Office must also retain all project M&E documents for this project for up to five
 years after financial closure. These records must be shared with the evaluation teams of either UNDP
 or the GEF should an ex-post evaluation or impact evaluation take place after the project closure.
 The UNDP Country Office is also required to facilitate access to project sites during UNDP and GEF
 evaluations.
- Annex 2 includes a number of key UNDP-GEF management performance indicators that aim to improve the efficiency and effectiveness in the oversight and supervision services provided. Performance against these indicators will be monitored on an annual basis.
- In order to accord proper acknowledgement to the GEF for providing funding, full compliance is needed with the GEF's Communication and Visibility Guidelines (the "GEF Guidelines"). The GEF Guidelines can be accessed at: http://www.thegef.org/gef/GEF logo. Full compliance is also required with UNDP's branding guidelines.

In concluding, I would like to assure you of the UNDP-GEF Unit's and my personal commitment to the successful implementation of the project. The RTA is at your disposal for advice and technical support. Should you have any concerns or questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Adriana Dinu
UNDP-GEF Executive Coordinator
and Director a.i

cc: Mrs. Cihan Sultanoglu, Assistant Administrator and Bureau Director, RBEC

Mrs. Marina Olshanskaya, UNDP/GEF Regional Technical Advisor, Istanbul, Turkey

Mrs. Jelena Janjusevic, UNDP/GEF Focal Point, Podgorica, Montenegro

Mr. Oliver Adams, Deputy Director, RBEC

Mr. Martin Krause, UNDP/GEF Regional Practice Leader, Istanbul, Turkey

Annex 1: CEO endorsement/approval



Naoko Ishii

CEO and Chairperson

July 11, 2014

Ms. Adriana Dinu GEF Executive Coordinator United Nations Development Programme One United Nations Plaza New York, NY 10017

Dear Ms. Dinu:

I am pleased to inform you that I have endorsed the full-sized project proposal detailed below:

Decision Sought:	CEO Endorsement of Full-sized Project
GEFSEC ID:	5098
Agency(ies):	UNDP
Agency ID:	5149 (UNDP)
Focal Area:	Climate Change
Project Type:	Full Size Project
Country(ies):	Montenegro
Name of Project:	Towards Carbon Neutral Tourism
Indicative GEF Project Grant:	\$3,090,000
Indicative Agency Fee:	\$293,550
Funding Source:	GEF Trust Fund

Break-down of Indicative Agency Fee										
Agency Trust Fees committed at Council Fees to be committed at Total (US Fund Approval CEO Endorsement										
UNDP	GET	\$117,420	\$176,130	\$293,550						

I am endorsing this project on the understanding that the GEF Agency will have its internal approval of the project no later than four months after the CEO endorsement.

This endorsement is subject to the comments made by the GEF Secretariat in the attached document. It is also based on the understanding that the project is in conformity with GEF focal areas strategies and in line with GEF policies and procedures.

Attachment: Copy to:

GEFSEC Project Review Document

Country Operational Focal Point, GERAgencies, STAP, Trustee

1818 H Street, NW • Washington, DC 20433 • USA Tel: +1 (202) 473 3202 - Fax: +1 (202) 522 3240 E-mail: gefceo@thegef.org www.thegef.org

Annex 2: UNDP Project Cycle Management Services

Stage	Country Office ¹	UNDP/GEF at regional and global level
Identification, Sourcing/Screening of Ideas, and Due Diligence	Assist proponent to formulate project idea / prepare project idea paper (e.g. GEF PIF/PPG), and ensuring it is aligned with country outcomes and UNDP Strategic Plan key results, and included in Country Office Integrated Work Plan in the ERBM Platform. Appraisal: Review and appraise project idea. Undertake capacity assessments of implementing partner as per UNDP POPP. Monitor project cycle milestones.	 RTA role: Technical input to CCA/UNDAFs and CPAPs where appropriate. Input on policy alignment between projects and programmes. Provide information on substantive issues and specialized funding opportunities (SOFs). Policy advisory services including identifying, accessing, combining and sequencing financing. Verify potential eligibility of identified idea. RTA role: Research and development. Provide up-front guidance. Sourcing of technical expertise. Verification of technical reports and project conceptualization. Guidance on SOF expectations and requirements. Undertake pre-screening of potential environmental and social opportunities and risks. Training and capacity building for the Environmental Officers at the Country Offices, as part of annual Regional Community of Practice meeting or during the RTA's mission(s) in the country. RTA and PTA role Provide detailed screening against technical, financial, and risk criteria. Determine likely eligibility against identified SOF.
	Partners: • Assist proponent to identify and negotiate with relevant partners, cofinanciers, etc Obtain clearances:	RTA role: Assist in identifying technical partners. Validate partner technical abilities. RTA and PTA role:
	Government, UNDP, Implementing Partner, LPAC, cofinanciers, etc.	Obtain SOF clearances.
Project Development	 Initiation Plan: Coordination, management and financial oversight of UNDP Initiation Plan Discuss management arrangements 	 RTA and PA role: Assist in preparation of UNDP Initiation Plan Technical support, backstopping and troubleshooting. Support discussions on management arrangements Facilitate issuance of DOA

-

¹ As per UNDP POPP with additional SOF requirements where relevant.

Stage	Country Office ¹	UNDP/GEF at regional and global level
	 Support project development, assist proponent to identify and negotiate with relevant partners, cofinanciers, etc. Undertake environmental and social screening of project before PAC. Ensure Environmental and Social Screening Procedure (ESSP) documentation is signed by the Resident Representative or Chair of PAC meeting and attached as Annex to the Project Document. Review, appraise, finalize Project Document. Negotiate and obtain clearances and signatures – Government, UNDP, Implementing Partner, cofinanciers, etc.Coordinate LPAC and document meeting decisions. Respond to information requests, arrange revisions etc. Prepare operational and financial reports on development stage as needed. 	RTA role: Sourcing of technical expertise. Verification of technical reports and project conceptualization. Guidance on SOF expectations and requirements. Negotiate and obtain clearances by SOF Respond to information requests, arrange revisions etc. Quality assurance and due diligence.

Key UNDP/GEF management performance indicators/targets for Project Development:

- 1. Time between PIF approval to CEO endorsement for each project:
 - Target for GEF trust fund project: FSP = 18 months or less, MSP 12 months or less.
 - Target for LDCF and SCCF FSP/MSP = 12 months or less.
- 2. Time between CEO endorsement to project document signature:
 - Target = 4 months or less

_						
Project Oversight	Management Oversight and support	Technical and SOF Oversight and support				
	Project Launch/Inception Workshop Preparation and coordination. Participate in Inception Workshop	 RTA role: Technical support in preparing TOR and verifying expertise for technical positions. Participate in recruitment process for Chief Technica Advisor and/or Project Manager, if RTA elects to do so. Verification of technical validity / match with SOF expectations of inception report. Participate in Inception Workshop 				
	 Management arrangements: Facilitate consolidation of the Project Management Unit, where relevant. Facilitate and support Project Board meetings as outlined in project document and agreed with UNDP RTA. Provide project assurance role if specified in project document. Ensure completion of timesheets as required. 	 Technical input and support to TOR development. Troubleshooting support. Support in sourcing of potentially suitable candidates and subsequent review of CVs/recruitment process. 				
	 Annual Work Plan: Issuance of AWP. Monitor implementation of the annual work plan and timetable. 	 RTA and PA role: Advisory services as required Review AWP, and clear for ASL where relevant. 				

 RTA, PA and Finance Unit roles: Allocation of ASLs, based on cleared AWPs Return of unspent funds to donor Monitor projects to ensure activities funded by donor comply with agreements and project document Oversight and monitoring to ensure financial transparency and clear reporting to the donor
RTA role:
 Advisory services as required. Quality assurance. Project visits – technical support visit during life of Project as required.

Stage	Country Office ¹	UNDP/GEF at regional and global level
	 Evaluation: Integrate project terminal evaluation into CO evaluation plan. Identify synergies with country outcome evaluations. Arrange terminal evaluation: prepare TOR, hire personnel, plan and facilitate mission / meetings / debriefing, circulate draft and final reports. Submit GEF Focal Area Tracking Tool completed by Project Team to evaluation team. Ensure tracking of committed and actual co financing as part of terminal evaluation. Ensure translation of terminal evaluation into English. Prepare management response to terminal evaluation and post both terminal evaluation report and management response in UNDP ERC. Facilitate and participate in other UNDP and GEF evaluations as necessary. 	 RTA, PA, RKS roles: Technical support and analysis. Quality assurance. Compilation of lessons and consolidation of learning. Dissemination of technical findings. Participate as necessary in other SOF evaluations.
	 Project Closure: Final budget revision and financial closure (within 12 months after operational completion). Final reports as required by donor and/or UNDP-GEF. 	RTA, PA role: Advisory services as required. Technical input. Quality assurance.

Key UNDP GEF management performance indicators/targets for Project Oversight:

- 1. Each project aligned with country outcomes and UNDP Strategic Plan key results, and included in Country Office Integrated Work Plan in the ERBM:
 - Target = 100%
- 2. Quality rating of annual APR/PIRs: Once completed and submitted, the quality of each project APR/PIR is rated by an external reviewer
 - Target = Rating of Satisfactory or above
- 3. Quality rating of Terminal Evaluation report: Once completed, the quality of the terminal evaluation report is rated by the UNDP Evaluation Office
 - Target = Rating of Satisfactory or above
- 4. Quality of results achieved by project as noted in terminal evaluation: the independent evaluator assigns an overall rating to the outcome achieved by the project and this rating is validated by the UNDP Evaluation Office
 - Target = Satisfactory or above



Annex 3: Country Office Fee allocation and Payment schedule

PIMS5149 At	las Output project:	00089673		MNE10	
					GEF fee (GMS) %
PPG			100,000	For allocation- based on total approved funding including PDF	For releasing-based on final approved project budget excluding PDF
EA/MSP/FSP			3,090,000		
Total PDF & EA/MSP/FSP Grant			3,190,000		
Total fee allocated to COs (Note 1)			111,650		
1st Release: Fee for formulation 40% Following Releases: Fee for impleme	•		44,660	1.400%	1.445%
(Note 3)			66,990	2.100%	2.168%
Total fee			111,650	3.500%	3.613%

со	Fee for Formulation (40%)	Fee for Implementation (60%)	Total Fee	Share % (fee for implementation)	% fee based on delivery (Impl. Fee / Final PRJ (FSP/MSP/EA) budget)
Montenegro	44,660	66,990	111,650	100%	2.168%
Total	44,660	66,990	111,650	100%	2.168%

Example 1 for CO projection purpose - fee release to Cos based on delivery

			Scenarios												
со	% fee based on delivery (Impl. Fee / Final PRJ (FSP/MSP/EA) budget)	2014 Delivery	Fee for 2014 delivery	2015 Delivery	Fee for 2015 delivery	2016 Delivery	Fee for 2016 delivery	2017 Delivery	Fee for 2017 delivery	2018 Delivery	Fee for 2018 delivery	2019 Delivery	Fee for 2019 delivery	Total Delivery	Total fee for delivery
Montenegro	2.2%		7,400		14,403		19,322		15,179		10,687		-		66,990
Total	2.2%	341,340	7,400	664,340	14,403	891,240	19,322	700,140	15,179	492,940	10,687		-	3,090,000	66,990

Note:

- 1. Total GEF Fee to COs includes the cost of services related to the preparatory assistance phase under the GEF Project Development Facility (PDF)/Project Preparation Grant (PPG) window.
- 2. The 1st release of GEF fee to COs will be effected upon receipt of the signed main project (FSP/MSP/EA) document cover page in the GEF RCU.
- 3. Subsequent annual fee releases to COs will depend on the satisfactory delivery of the services described in Annex 2 and will be directly linked to project delivery.
- 4. Annual fee payments to COs related to delivery are normally done after year end closing. For example, annual fee for 2014 delivery is paid in April 2015.